Variations in Trans-Pulmonary Pressure During Two and One Lung Ventilation and Change of Patient's Position
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ABSTRACT

Introduction. Esophageal manometry, using esophageal balloon catheter, allows estimation of the pleural pressure (PP). Trans-pulmonary pressure (TPP) is calculated by subtracting PP from airway pressure. TPP correlates with lung compliance. This technique is used to optimize ventilation in critical ill patients. We studied alterations in esophageal pressure (Pes) and airway pressure during two and one lung ventilation and changes of patient's position in order to learn about TPP changes in such events. Methods. This is a prospective longitudinal cohort study of 19 adult patients undergoing thoracoscopic surgery. Following induction of general anesthesia, an esophageal balloon device was used to measure Pes for the three phases of the respiratory cycle: peak inspiratory, plateau and end expiratory pressure. Airway pressure was recorded at the same time. We compared the pressures during ventilation of the two lungs, during one lung ventilation (OLV) in the supine position and finally in the lateral position. Results. Trans-pulmonary pressure increased after changing from bilateral ventilation to OLV during each of the three stages of mechanical ventilation. Peak inspiration TPP increased from 10.4±7.1 to 17.2±5.8 cm H2O (P<0.0001). TPP during inspiratory plateau increased from 4.4±5.6 to 11.8±5.0 cm H2O (P<0.0001), and end expiratory TPP from -5.9±4.1 to 0.5±3.5 cm H2O (P<0.0001). Conclusion. There was a significant increase in the TPP when switching from bilateral ventilation to OLV throughout the respiratory cycle. The clinical application of this finding is yet to be discovered.
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RÉSUMÉ

Introduction. La manométrie oesophagienne, à l’aide d’un cathéter à ballonnet oesophagien, permet d’estimer la pression pleurale (PP). La pression trans-pulmonaire (PTP) est calculée en soustrayant la PP de la pression des voies respiratoires. La PTP est corrélée à la compliance pulmonaire. Cette technique est utilisée pour optimiser la ventilation chez les patients gravement malades. Nous avons étudié les modifications de la pression oesophagienne (Poe) et des voies respiratoires lors d’une ventilation à deux et un poumons ainsi que les modifications de la position du patient afin d’en apprendre davantage sur les modifications de la PTP lors de tels événements. Méthodes. Il s’agit d’une étude de cohorte longitudinale prospective portant sur 19 patients adultes subissant une chirurgie thoracoscopique. Après l’induction de l’anesthésie générale, un ballon oesophagien a été utilisé pour mesurer Poe en position supine et enfin en position latérale. Résultats. La PTP a augmenté après le passage de la ventilation bilatérale à la ventilation unilatérale de chaque des deux poumons, d’une ventilation d’un poumon (VUP) en décubitus dorsal et enfin en position latérale. Conclusion. Il y avait une augmentation significative de la PTP lors du passage d’une ventilation bilatérale à une VUP tout au long du cycle respiratoire. L’application clinique de cette découverte reste à découvrir.

MOTS CLÉS: pression trans-pulmonaire; une ventilation pulmonaire; chirurgie thoracoscopique assistée par vidéo; cathéter à ballon oesophagien.
INTRODUCTION

An esophageal balloon catheter is one of the techniques used to measure esophageal pressure (Pes), which closely correlates to the pleural pressure (Ppl) [1,2]. This can in turn be used to calculate the trans-pulmonary pressure (TPP), which is the difference between the airway pressure and the pleural pressure. Trans-pulmonary pressure is comparable to lung compliance, and is often used for optimizing ventilation in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [3], by adjusting positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) to the patient’s lung features and assessing lung recruitability [4]. Trials conducted in ARDS patients confirms the value of esophageal manometry in improving ventilation, which led to better clinical outcomes [5,6].

One-lung ventilation (OLV) is used in certain types of thoracic and thoracoscopic procedures. Post-operative acute lung injury (ALI) may occur in 4-15% of patients undergoing lung resection with OLV [7] and along with ARDS are the leading causes of post-operative mortality in these surgical patients [8,9]. Usually, the post-operative failing lung is the lung that is ventilated during the surgery, and not the operated one. This raise the question whether ventilation during the surgery cause the injury. In critically ill patients with lung injury, ventilating with low tidal volumes and low airway pressure is recommended. This technique, which is commonly referred to as “protective ventilation”, had been proven beneficial for bilateral lung ventilation. However, a debate still remains regarding the advantages of “protective ventilation” during OLV [10]. To the best of our knowledge, esophageal balloon devices have not been used in clinical setting as a guide to optimize ventilation during OLV. Furthermore, little published evidence exists about changes in TPP during OLV.

In the present trial, an esophageal balloon catheter is used to measure TPP in patients undergoing video assisted thorascopic surgery (VATS) of lung lobectomy. We examined the TPP and respiratory mechanics during tow lung ventilation, OLV and after positioning the patient to the lateral position. We assume that TPP would provide useful information to individualize the ventilator settings during OLV and optimize ventilation, thus reduce the risk for post-operative pulmonary complications.

METHODS

The study was approved by the institutional review board, and registered (Clinical Trials Registry, reference NCT03567759). This study was performed in a prospective, longitudinal cohort manner. Adult patients scheduled for elective VATS lobectomy were included in the study. All patients signed an informed consent. Exclusion criteria included patients with esophageal pathology or surgery, previous lung or chest wall resection, and difficulty in placing the esophageal catheter. Following induction of general anesthesia using fentanyl 2-5 microgram/kg; propofol 1-3 mg/kg and rocuronium 0.6-0.8 mg/kg the trachea was intubated with a left double lumen tracheal tube VivaSight (ETView Ltd. Misgav Business Park, Israel) where verification of the tube position was monitored continuously with on-line video surveillance. Mechanical ventilation in a volume controlled mode with tidal volumes of 8ml/kg and PEEP 5cm H2O was initiated with respiratory rate of 10-13 breaths per minute.

Esophageal Manometry

An esophageal balloon device (Adult Esophageal Balloon Catheter Set, Cooper Surgical, USA) [11] was placed according to the manufacturer’s instructions, as follows: The stomach was decompressed and suctioned using an 18 F orogastric tube which was also utilized to estimate the depth of the lower esophageal sphincter, a measure that was corroborated with external simulation of the approximate distance from the gastric opening to the nares. A lubricated 86 cm long closed-tip catheter with a 9.5 cm long balloon catheter at its distal end was gently inserted via one nostril and advanced into the esophagus. The balloon was placed at the lower third of the thoracic cavity at about 10 cm above the lower esophageal sphincter, as guided by catheter markings. This depth was calculated as the product of patient height x 0.288, and verified by the external measurements at described above. An extension tubing was attached to the Y piece at the proximal ending of the catheter and connected to a syringe and a pre-calibrated pressure transducer via a 3-way stopcock. One ml of air was injected into the balloon so that it became semi inflated, and the tracing on the pressure monitor was adjusted with additional small amounts of air until no flattening or damping was noted in the pressure waveform. When properly positioned, the catheter was secured with tape to prevent movement while placing the patient on lateral position. Esophageal pressure was continuously measured using a calibrated pressure transducer system (Art-Line, Bio-Metrix, Kiryat Mada, Jerusalem, Israel) connected to a patient monitor (Datex AS/3, Datex Ohmeda Medical Equipment, GE Healthcare, USA) and recorded using designated computer software.

Measurements

Airway pressures were recorded for the three phases of the respiratory cycle: peak inspiratory pressure (PIP); plateau pressure (Plat) and end expiratory pressure. Esophageal pressure was measured at the same time points.
After recording baseline pressures during bilateral lung ventilation (BLV), the patient was switched to OLV in the supine position and after 2 minutes of equilibration the pressures were recorded. During the change from BLV to OLV in the supine position tidal volumes were reduced from 8 ml/kg to 4 ml/kg and the respiratory rate was increased as needed, keeping end tidal CO₂ below 50 mmHg. PEEP was kept on 5 cm H₂O. Then the patient was placed in the lateral position and after 2 minutes the pressures were recorded again. All measurements were recorded before the surgical procedure began. Trans-pulmonary pressure was calculated by subtracting Pes from airway pressure.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive statistics in terms of mean, STD, median, percentiles and ranges were performed to the whole parameters in the data. Repeated measure analysis with Bonferroni adjustment was applied for differences between the three measurements. P<0.05 was considered as significant.

**RESULTS**

Nineteen patients were recruited as participants, all of whom successfully completed the study. Participants’ demographics are shown in Table 1.

Pre-operative pulmonary function tests of all the patients were within normal limits (Table 2).

Trans-pulmonary pressures were calculated and found to be significantly higher when changing from BLV to either OLV in the supine or the lateral position but did not show any statistical significance when changing from OLV supine to lateral. These changes were consistent during all phases of the respiratory cycle. During peak inspiration, TPP increased significantly from 10.3± 7.1 cmH₂O in supine BLV to 16.4± 6.4 cmH₂O in supine OLV (P<0.0001) and then increased insignificantly to 17.2± 5.8 cmH₂O in lateral OLV. During inspiratory plateau TPP increased from 4.4± 5.6 cmH₂O to 10.5± 5.0 cmH₂O (P<0.0001) and then increased insignificantly to 11.8 ± 5.0 cmH₂O.

During end expirium TPP increased from -5.9±4.1 cmH₂O to 0.5±3.5 cmH₂O (P<0.0001). Peak and plateau airway pressure changes showed no significant difference when changing from BLT to OLV or when changing position P=0.55. Esophageal pressure showed significant difference when changing from BLT to OLV P<0.0001. Figures 1 and 2 display the changes of the airway pressure, esophageal pressure and trans-pulmonary pressure as ventilation altered from bilateral to one lung ventilation followed by a

**TABLE 1**  
Demographic data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographic data</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender (M/F)</td>
<td>12 / 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (Years) *</td>
<td>61 (32-81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>166.9 ± 9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kg)</td>
<td>75.4 ± 15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (Kg/m²)</td>
<td>26.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation  
*: Age is given as the Median age for the study participants with range below.

**TABLE 2**  
Patients’ preoperative pulmonary function tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>% from predicted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DLCO</td>
<td>69 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1/FVC</td>
<td>96 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC</td>
<td>94 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1</td>
<td>89 ± 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

![FIGURE 1. Peak pressure changes during transition to one lung ventilation in supine and lateral position.](image1)

![FIGURE 2. Plateau pressure changes during transition to one lung ventilation in supine and lateral position.](image2)
changes in patient position. The graphs clearly depict a step-wise increase in trans-pulmonary pressure throughout these stages. It can be seen that there is a little change in airway pressure, and the major component contributing to the change in the TPP is a decrease in esophageal pressure.

**DISCUSSION**

We demonstrated that TPP significantly increased when the ventilation was altered from supine bilateral ventilation to OLV in the lateral position. Trans-pulmonary pressures increased during inspiration, both peak and plateau. As shown in this study, airway pressure changed insignificantly despite substantial manipulation of the ventilation and patient positioning, whereas Pes significantly changed. This highlights that lung compliance may be changing significantly without the clinician being able to monitor it, as airway pressure stayed almost constant. We believe that by using esophageal manometry during OLV before the beginning of the surgery, the anesthesiologist may optimize mechanical ventilation parameters for the patient. This is more important in patients with significant lung disease, as implied from pre-operative poor pulmonary function tests. While improving intra-operative ventilation we seek to reduce post-operative pulmonary complications.

Ventilating the patient during OLV is complex. Previous studies demonstrated that excessive end-inspiratory lung volumes increased extravascular lung water and diffuse alveolar damage [12], and was associated with ALI [13]. Also, high ventilating pressures during OLV are detrimental, increasing the risk for ALI [14]. On the other hand, when using low volume and low pressure ventilation, it is difficult to avoid atelectasis, hypercapnia and hypoxia. This becomes critical during OLV when the patient is already at risk for all these hazards to begin with [15].

Another issue is the optimal PEEP to provide during OLV. An early study showed that providing 10cmH2O PEEP to the dependent lung with a PAO2 < 80mmHg showed improvement, but if was PAO2 was > 80mmHg, providing PEEP of 10cm H2O did not improve PAO2 [16]. Application of inappropriately high PEEP may increase pulmonary vascular resistance and shift blood to the non-dependent lung, worsening shunt [17,18]. Hoffman et al found that providing high PEEP during OLV gave an unpredictable impact on oxygenation with an unchanged cardiac output [19]. Further studies into treating hypoxia with PEEP during OLV divided recipients into two groups with one providing low tidal volumes with high PEEP vs high tidal volumes and low PEEP. Results indicated that the former group experienced lower oxygenation [20].

One limitation for the use of the balloon device for measuring OLV is that once pneumothorax has been achieved, the pressure on that side of the thorax falls to 1atm and the Pes falls to 0 mmHg compared to the surrounding environment. This makes continuous monitoring of Pes throughout a VATS procedure impossible. This study may provide some help in adjusting ventilation to the high risk patient during OLV before the beginning of the surgery.

Therefore, after intubation and before incision, ventilation mechanics can be manipulated with the use of the Pes to achieve a stable TPP so that surgery can occur with minimal lung injury, and hopefully to reduce the risk of post-operative pulmonary morbidity and mortality.

Another limitation is that calculating TPP requires adopting Pes as pleural pressure. This might be accurate in the upright position but, in the supine position, the mediastinum itself will add additional pressure to the Pes [21]. Also, the esophageal balloon device measures pressure within a pliable structure that moves easily within the mediastinum. Thus, when ventilation is changed from BLV to OLV, one side of the chest is exerting less force upon the esophagus, and the esophagus will drift toward the non-dependent lung. In this situation there are two pleural pressures, one for the dependent lung and one for the non-dependent lung.

The data being read from the esophageal balloon device will give an interpretation of both these pressures together but no true value to either side. In addition, we assume that there was no change in the esophageal catheter position, nor did the pressure in the balloon. Although this may have happened, changing the consistency of the results [22].

**CONCLUSION**

There is a significant increase in the TPP when switching from bilateral ventilation to OLV, while airway pressure changed insignificantly. This suggests that by measuring esophageal pressures one may have more accurate estimation of lung compliance and may be able to improve ventilation. Further studies are needed to concur in order to find whether this monitoring can be used to adjust ventilation in high-risk patients with compromised respiratory mechanics for surgery requiring one lung ventilation.
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